

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION	NO. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,724	(07/08/2003	Ron A. Peters	JEV/KAR:1016.2018	9427
152	7590	05/24/2004		EXAM	MINER
	OFF, VILH	AUER, MCCLUN	NGUYEN, JIMMY		
	SECOND AV	ENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLA	AND, OR 97	204-3157	2829		

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/615,724	PETERS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Jimmy Nguyen	2829			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondenc address '			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a rep ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	s of				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 J</u>	<u>uly 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.		•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nniority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i priority and or or o.c.o.	. 10(a) (b) (i).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		plication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)	and the second of the second o	And the state of t			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	5) Notice of Infe	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0504</u> .	6) Other:	-			

ac

Application/Control Number: 10/615,724

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No 6639415. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of the patent 6639415 fully anticipates claims 1-3 of the instant application.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6489789. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of the patent fully anticipates claims 1-3 of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,724

Art Unit: 2829

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6362636. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of the patent fully anticipates claims 1-3 of the instant application.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims combination of 1 and 2, or 7 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6002263. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1-2 and 7-8 of the patent fully anticipates claims 1-3 of the instant application.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6288557. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-4 and 5 of the patent fully anticipates claims 1-3 of the instant application.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 and 16-22 of U.S. Patent No. 5457398.

 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-12 and 16-22 of the patent fully anticipates claims 1-3 of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,724

Art Unit: 2829

Page 4.

7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 5266889. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-6 of the patent fully anticipates claims 1-3 of the instant application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy Nguyen at (571) 272-1965. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

JN. May 11, 2004

513/04